

WEST OXFORDSHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

Minutes of the meeting of the
Uplands Area Planning Sub-Committee
Held in the Council Chamber at 2.00 pm on **Monday, 25 April 2022**

PRESENT

Councillors: Jeff Haine (Chairman), Julian Cooper (Vice-Chair), Andrew Beaney, Marilyn Davies, Ted Fenton, Andy Graham, David Jackson, Alex Postan, Geoff Saul, Dean Temple and Alex Wilson.

Officers: Stephanie Eldridge (Senior Planner), James Nelson (Planning Officer), Michelle Ouzman (Strategic Support Officer), Anne Learmonth (Strategic Support Officer) and Maria Harper (Democratic Services Assistant).

66 Minutes of Previous Meeting

The minutes of the meeting held on 4 April 2022 were approved and signed by the Chairman as a correct record, subject to the addition of Councillor Saul to the apologies.

67 Apologies for Absence and Temporary Appointments

Councillor Andy Graham substituted for Councillor Nathalie Chapple.

68 Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of interest received.

69 Applications for Development

21/02024/FUL - Netherby Farm Banbury Road, Swerford, Chipping Norton

James Nelson the Senior Planning Officer introduced the part retrospective application for the retention of a mobile home for a full time agricultural worker, and change of use of the land to allow two bell tents and one mobile unit for glamping.

There were no speakers registered for this application, Mr Nelson continued with his presentation, concluding that planning officers were recommending approval as per the conditions listed in the original report.

Councillor Beaney stated he was concerned about the living quarters and thought it looked unfit for residential use. He also thought the conditions within the report were not strong enough and his thought process was more in line with the Parish Council's conclusions. Councillor Wilson shared the same concerns.

Councillor Saul disagreed and thought that the Council should encourage diversity in new business initiatives, and therefore proposed that the application be approved. This was seconded by Councillor Postan.

Councillor Postan felt that the services for the tents should not be fixed in the future and asked if there could be a condition proposed to cover so that it did not lead to permanent drainage. The Planning Officers confirmed that an informative could be added.

Councillor Davies thought that the applicants should be given a chance and that the planners' recommendations should be supported, especially as diversity was in the WODC plan.

Councillor Beaney advised that he had recently looked after animals which had smart technology attached to them. This meant that he was informed of any movements that occurred and he queried whether this technology could be used for the sheep on site because then there would be no need for 24 hour on site shepherding. He also queried if the onsite 24 hour provision could just be used during the lambing season.

25/April2022

The Chairman asked if there were any more points to be raised, as there were none, The proposal from Councillor Saul that the application be approved, seconded by Councillor Postan was put to the vote and resulted in a tie.

Councillor Beaney therefore proposed that the application be refused as he felt it was contrary to Policies EH2, H2, OS2 and EH13. Councillor Cooper seconded the proposal and on being put to the vote the motion was passed on the Chairman's casting vote.

Refused for the following reasons:

22/00015/FUL - The Plough High Street, Finstock, Chipping Norton

James Nelson the Senior Planning Officer introduced the application for a change of use of the land to extend an external dining area and siting of glamping pods together with associated works and landscaping.

Mr Peter Smith spoke on behalf of the applicant, a copy of his submission is attached to the original copy of the minutes.

Councillor Postan asked Mr Smith about additional noise to the neighbours and what measures would be put in to monitor noise on site. Mr Smith clarified the distance from the site to the nearest neighbours, where he felt the additional noise would be minimal, and advised that a noise management plan would be in place, with planner's approval.

Mr Nelson confirmed that any issues with noise and disturbance would come under a noise management plan and screening by mature vegetation would help.

Mr Nelson continued with his presentation, concluding that officers' recommendation was to approve the application with the conditions listed in the original report, plus delegated authority for planners to resolve outstanding drainage issues.

Councillor Graham raised that noise at this pub had been an issue before this application was submitted. He asked if engagement with the neighbours could be in place as soon as possible, so it could be agreed up front what level of noise was deemed reasonable, and what level would be deemed unacceptable. Councillor Graham was also concerned about light pollution, referred to suitable controls detailed in the report but he felt that the site was at a higher point and light would travel. He was also concerned about drainage issues as this area had flooded before on a number of occasions and asked if Thames Water had resolved this issue yet.

The Chairman reminded Councillors that planners were seeking delegated authority whilst drainage issues were being resolved, and that the lighting issue was dealt with within the report.

Mr Nelson clarified that the noise management plan needed to be signed off by planning authority.

Councillor Temple thought that noise levels would be raised in the garden dining area, but noted that some drinkers would be in a garden area.

Councillor Postan asked how the noise control would be monitored.

Mr Nelson confirmed that this would be managed in consultation with the Environment Regularity Services (ERS) Team. Stephanie Eldridge reminded the meeting that noise control came under the remit of ERS who had the equipment, authority and experience. All ERS legislation would have to be complied with and this could be added as a condition.

Uplands Area Planning Sub-Committee

25/April2022

Councillor Postan proposed that the application be accepted as per officers' recommendation, Councillor Jackson seconded the proposal.

Councillor Cooper felt that a site visit may be helpful, especially to view the level where the tents would be sited and Councillor Graham agreed. The Chair highlighted that a site visit on a Thursday morning at 9:30am would not give the Councillors a true reflection of diners and an evening atmosphere.

Councillor Fenton thought the applicants had picked a good way to enhance the business. Councillor Saul had been swayed by the business case and was supportive of the application.

Councillor Beaney wanted clarity of the colours and materials used. Mr Nelson confirmed they would be working with the applicants to ensure certain timbers and colours would be used so they were not visible from the street.

Councillor Davies noted that five pods directly in a village seemed to be a lot. Stephanie Eldridge confirmed that if the use of the pods ceased, the land would need to be returned to its' original use.

Councillor Postan's proposal, seconded by Councillor Jackson, was put to the vote and carried subject to the following additional conditions:

Approved.

- a) If the pods are out of use for three months, they are to be removed and the land returned to its' original use; and
- b) Authority is delegated to the planning officers to resolve the outstanding drainage issues.

70 Applications Determined under Delegated Powers and Appeal Decisions

The report giving details of applications determined under delegated authority were received and noted.

Page 40, item 25 - it was noted that this application should have been listed under the Lowlands area.

Appeals

The Senior Planning Officer, Stephanie Eldridge, summarised the latest appeals detailed in the report and responded to questions from Members.

The report was noted.

20/03020/FUL Access Track, Land to north of Beaconsfield Farm, Great Tew, Oxfordshire OX7 4JR

The development proposed is to regularise the use of the access track and amend the construction and landscaping details.

DISMISSED

21/01229/FUL Lansdowne, Bruern Road, Milton-Under-Wychwood, Oxfordshire OX7 6LL

Uplands Area Planning Sub-Committee

25/April2022

The development proposed is the demolition of the existing bungalow and erection of two detached dwellings.

ALLOWED

21/01825/HHD Foxwold, Old Forge Road, Great Rollright OX7 5RR

The development proposed is remodelling of dwelling to provide first floor extension; erection of a detached garage.

PART ALLOWED PART DISMISSED

21/03263/HHD Station House, Station Road, Shipton-U-Wychwood, Chipping Norton OX7 6BQ

The development proposed is for a two storey pitched roof rear extension and single storey flat roof side extension.

ALLOWED

20/03474/FUL | Four Winds, Wards Road, Chipping Norton OX7 5BU

The development proposed is demolition of the existing 3 dwellings and the erection of 6 new dwellings.

DISMISSED

20/03286/FUL Farm Building at E426163 N224706, Kingham OX7 6YD

The development proposed is change of use of building into a dwellinghouse (3 bed) and associated works.

DISMISSED

21/01826/FUL Farm Building at E426163 N224706, Road from Station Road to Rynehill Farm, Kingham OX7 6YD

The development proposed is conversion of existing barn to create a new dwelling to be used as a holiday home.

DISMISSED

Councillors Beaney, Davies and Postan were all concerned about inconsistencies relating to decisions on applications within Areas of Natural Beauty (AONB). The Senior Planning Officer explained that each application needed to be assessed on its own merit.

Uplands Area Planning Sub-Committee

25/April2022

Councillor Cooper asked if there were checks on inconsistencies, and Councillor Beaney also queried if there were also checks carried out on enforcements. The Senior Planning Officer confirmed that each application had to be looked at individually to ensure consistency, and they had a process to ensure enforcements were checked.

The Meeting closed at 3.40 pm

CHAIRMAN