

1	Givers officers more time to prepare and more practical with other commitments
2	Whilst I have indicated a 2pm start time , I have no strong feeling either way but there isn't a box for that . I am sure I have no preference !
3	4:00pm worked well as it enabled pre-work etc to fit within the afternoon and evening slot and did not encroach into the morning.
4	No
5	No, it makes little difference to me and there is no time that will suit everyone, so I don't have any particular comments to make.
6	No
7	I often have an evening meeting which would leave very little time to prepare and eat a meal if Council was at 4.00pm
8	No
9	The 4pm start suited me
10	The 4pm start was considerably less disruptive to my work day than a 2pm, and still early enough to be back for the kids bedtime
11	4.00 pm impacts on my ability to attend parish meetings
12	I am happy to go along with the majority view as I think those who are employed full-time are most affected
13	I felt it worked really well starting at 4pm , My Employers really appreciated the time, and it gave me much more time to complete my day job work load.
14	No
15	The new time of 4.00 made it possible for me to attend work and then leave to take part in the meeting. It meant that I was not under pressure which for someone with mental health issues helped me to deal with the anxiety of trying to make a meeting, and ensure that my work commitments were met. It also meant that ward residents were able to watch the meeting on line and feedback with observations.
16	I find 2pm less disruptive of my day.
17	The later time may conflict with attendance at Parish Council meetings, although this is more of an issue if the Council start time is 6pm.
18	No
19	4PM works really well. As someone who works Full-Time, this allows me to start work an hour or so earlier, but still fit in a working day, even if a Group Meeting is at 3PM.

20	Easier to work in the day and then attend the council meeting.
21	It seems easier for all.
22	14.00 easier in structure and timing.
23	Later starting meetings make it harder for some working councillors to attend, particularly shift workers and those with child care commitments. It also limits opportunities for briefings and training after meetings.
24	I am happy with either 2pm or 4pm
25	Either time suits me equally for most occasions. I am happy to go with others' decisions.
26	I don't see how pre-meetings and training and briefings after full council can work around 4pm. The whole culture of appending things to Full Council would have to change to make it a sleek and efficient 4pm meeting. As a teacher, starting at 4pm removes my right to request time off (bar being excused from meetings on occasion) but any less formal meetings earlier would be off limits to me. I would almost prefer 6pm or 7pm, to allow 5pm extra meetings. But 2pm works as things stand. On behalf of others, 4pm impinges on times later than regular daytime childcare and after school clubs. This particularly affects lone parents. And the later time affects those doing evening shifts.
27	Work would prohibit me being available for 1600hr starts I'm afraid.
28	No comments
29	While it was possible to make it just about in time, for me it was the narrowest of windows to do school pick up and take kids to child minders then chance my luck with Bridge street and I would have needed to depart by 6pm regardless of the progress on the agenda. I'd like to be able to continue to attend and remain throughout.
30	If starting at 4pm this may not finish by 6 or 7pm which could impact on evening community meetings etc. Also rest of WODC is closed down by the end of the meeting so not as easy to meet with council employees afterwards.
31	I am not opposed to 4pm start time, but 2pm works better for me. However, I appreciate that there are members for whom the start time makes a significant difference.