

20/02899/FUL: Objection to flat 10: Mr AC Jones:
Ten reasons why flat No 10 should be refused.

Dear committee members,

1. This is the third attempt by the applicant to gain permission to build ten flats, after 17 months of debate involving hundreds of objections, the case officer and planning committee finally granted him permission to build 9 flats in November last, and yet he is still not content.
2. Flat ten will make the North West corner of the complex far too voluminous.
3. The street scene shown for 9 flats is already over powering and flat 10 will certainly increase this dominance.
4. The new street scene incorporating flat 10 also illustrates how these flats will not be in keeping with their single storey neighbours.
5. Flat 10 will require additional car parking spaces, when there are already insufficient spaces with only one guest space between 10 flats.
6. Any additional congestion in the car park will no doubt lead to vehicles forced to reverse out across the pavement onto the busy A4095.
7. The additional flat would mean more delivery vans parking in front of the pedestrian crossing creating a dangerous situation.
8. Flat 10 will raise the ridge height over flat 5 by 1.5 mts causing even more loss of light and increasing the light pollution from the Velux windows.
9. I have spent the last twenty years studying astronomy and I don't care what the ERS daylight shading analysis survey claims, the residents living north of these flats will lose most of the sunlight in their gardens and conservatories during the mid winter months. I still believe an independent analysis should be done.
10. I have dedicated the last 20 years of my life to astronomy and if you look at the projection of these proposed flats at the bottom of my garden you will understand when I say my astronomy work will be severely curtailed as astronomers need a southern sky, I have a small area of south east sky left over flat 5 which I will lose if permission were granted for flat 10 and then my astronomy work would be finished.

I urge the committee to look at the impact on the surrounding neighbours and refuse this application.

A. C. Jones